CATA Curricular Code Change Proposal

Make a copy of this document. In order to input information.

Contest:	
Proposed by: (Name, School, Email)	

	Issue: (Describe the	reason/rationale	for the p	proposed	change.)
--	----------	--------------	------------------	-----------	----------	----------

Please answer yes or no to ALL the questions below.

This proposal will require a contest to open out of rotation	
The change will affect General Rules	
The change will affect the awards needed.	
Which JudgingCard scorecard will be used for tabulations.	
The proposed change will affect contest forms.	
The proposed change will affect contest hosting site. (e.g. additional facilities, new sections, additional scoring, etc.)	

If you answered yes to any of the above questions, you need to include the following signatures: <u>Click here</u> for link to CDE Contest Advisor and Coordinator list.

CATA Approved Contest Advisor's Signature	
CDE Host Site Contest Coordinator's Signature agreeing that changes are able to be accommodated by the host site.	

If you answered yes to any of the above questions, please explain.

*It is highly recommended that you, or a representative, attend the pre-conference governing board meeting to answer any questions regarding proposed curricular code changes to contests that are requested to be opened out of rotation.

Proposed CATA Code Change: (Only include the section that the proposed change pertains to – do not include the entire contest. Reference numbered section. If editing text, show new text with old text in parenthesis. For large changes, set track changes in the Word document and attach the file, with edits, to this document when submitting.)

Instructions for Submitting Curricular Code Change

- Make sure the form is complete.
- Download and Submit this document as a PDF
 - \circ Click File \rightarrow Download \rightarrow Download as a PDF
- If your proposal requires signatures make sure to contact the contest advisor and contest host. **Tip:** Docusign, Doc Hub are great sources for digital signature requests.
 - <u>Click Here</u> for contest Host and Advisor List
- Email completed Curricular Change Proposal PDF to <u>cata@calagteachers.org</u> by June 1st.

Warning: Make sure you add all the topics or concerns you would like to discuss at the Curricular Code CDE meeting. If it's not posted on the CATA Curricular Code Changes website by June 1st, it <u>cannot</u> be discussed.

Revised 6/2024

Purpose and Standards

The purpose of the agronomy contest is to create interest and promote understanding in agronomy by providing opportunities for recognition through the demonstration of skills and proficiencies. It is the intention of the contest to provide a venue for students to explore career opportunities, skills, and proficiencies in the agronomy industry. This event blends knowledge as well as critical thinking to evaluate many crop scenarios.

Foundation Standards: Academics Science, 1.d, 1.l, Communications Written and Oral Conventions Listening and Speaking 1.1, 2.2, 1.8, Ethics and Legal Responsibilities, 8.4, Leadership and teamwork, 9.1, 9.2, 9.3, 9.6

Plant and Soil Science Pathway Standards: G1.1-1.6, G5.1, G1.2, G7.1, and G.10.1-10.3

Contestants

Teams consist of four members, with all four individual scores counting as the team score. All team members are eligible for individual awards.

Classes

Class	Individual Points	Team Points
Judging Class 1	50	200
Judging Class 2	50	200
Judging Class 3	50	200
Judging Class 4	50	200
Reasons Class 1	50	200
Reasons Class 2	50	200
Reasons Class 3	50	200
Reasons Class 4	50	200
Agronomic Knowledge Test	100	400
Identification Part 1 (Plants)	300	1200
Identification Part 2 (Insects)	50 100	200 400
Identification Part 3 (Disorders)	50 100	200 400
TOTAL	1000	4000

Tie Breaker

- 1. The team or individual scoring the highest reason score(s) will be the winner.
- 2. If a tie still exists, the total score of the individual or team will be used to determine the high individual or team.
- 3. If a tie still exists, the higher number of the identification portion will be the winner.

Sub-contest Awards

Sub-contest awards will be given for high teams and individuals in the following areas: Judging, Identification, and Reasons (Reasons are not included in judging sub-contest score.)

Rules

- I. All contestants must participate in seed judging, hay judging, and identification.
- I. Contestants are not to take small parcels of the identification samples.
- II. Contestants and coaches are invited to ask questions of judges and inspect seed judging and identification samples after the contest.
- III. Seed Judging:
 - A. Samples will be judged and placed on the basis of their relative merits as seeds and not on the basis of market standards as established by the Federal Grading Standards. Factors enumerated on the attached score card will serve as a guide in evaluating judging factors. Seeds are to be judged on the basis that the seed is to be planted immediately.
 - B. The classes of the contest will be made up from grain sorghums, wheat, barley, oats, field beans, blackeye beans (cowpeas), alfalfa, ladino clover, sudan grasses, vetch, and corn and alfalfa hay.
 - C. Two Four classes will be judged, each class consisting of four (4) samples of appropriate size. The seed samples will be in open pans with appropriate name supplied. One of these two four classes shall be alfalfa hay.
 - D. Placings will be submitted on cards supplied to the contestant. Reasons will be given orally without the use of notes. (Notes may be used in preparation of the reasons).
 - E. It should be kept in mind that only a few important reasons need be mentioned for placing one sample over another. In no case will more than two (2) reasons for any one sample be considered by the judges, and these in order of their importance.

IV. Alfalfa Hay Judging:

- A. Judging alfalfa hay is an 'art' which has considerable practical significance, since millions of dollars of hay sales per year are determined partly by subjective hay judging. Samples for dairy applications should be judged on the basis of their 'potential feeding value,' not on cosmetic or other factors. Samples will consist primarily of alfalfa and in student contests, typically four hay samples are judged. The 'potential feeding value' is a prediction as to superior vs. inferior animal performance from the different samples. Since most alfalfa hay is used in the dairy industry, judging should be based upon the feeding value for high producing dairy cows. Samples should be a minimum of a 12-15 inch thick flake from a bale, and preferable whole bales.
- B. Judging should be based approximately upon the California Alfalfa Hay Quality Designations in Table 1, which include "supreme", "premium," "good," "fair," and "low" classifications. Hay should be examined carefully for characteristics outlined under Hay Judging Scorecard and Criteria for Judging Hay in Table 2 below. Hay samples should be rated 1-100 (100 best) using the 6 criteria in Table 2, summarizing the scores, and ranking the hay from highest to the lowest rating.
- C. Contestants and officials should handle alfalfa hay samples only with a pencil or other object, to prevent contamination or destruction for a large number of judges. ***Contestants are not allowed to touch or disturb any hay samples in contest.***
- D. Alfalfa Hay Judging Scorecard
 - 1. Judging alfalfa hay is a difficult task, especially when comparing hays which are in the mid-range of feeding value. In practice, subjective hay judging for feeding value should be used in combination with laboratory analysis, which primarily tests for ADF (Acid Detergent Fiber, from which TDN or Total Digestible Nutrients are calculated), and CP (Crude Protein). In most contests, you will be asked to judge feeding value without benefit of a hay analysis. In many situations, it is often useful

to take a hay sample, analyze the sample for ADF, NDF, and CP, and not reveal that information until after the hay has been subjectively rated. It is instructive to see the limitations of both lab testing and visual inspection. It is a rare experienced hay judge who has not been fooled by a hay sample that appears poor in feeding value, but is actually high in protein and low in fiber. Conversely, sometimes a lab test will indicate high feeding value, when the hay has serious mold problems or other defects.

- 2. Another consideration is Relative Feed Value (RFV), which helps buyer's rate alfalfa's potential for productivity in the livestock they are feeding. It is based on the digestibility and palpability and is mathematically correlated to Neutral Detergent Fiber (NDF), which measures the non-digestible proportion (i.e. cellulose, lignin) of the feed, which ranges between 30-50% in alfalfa hay.
- 3. The California Hay Quality Designations are given below which should be used as a general guide.

Designations	Verbal Description		TDN
		(100%dm)	(90%dm)
Supreme	Very early maturity, pre-bloom, soft fine stemmed, extra leafy.	27% or	Over 54%
	Factors indicative of very high nutritive content. Hay is excellent color and free of damage.	less	
Premium	Prebud or prebloom stage of maturity. Low fiber with soft stems,	29% or	54% or
	high energy, and protein content (low ADF). Very high percentage leaves, low percent stem. Good green color, very good leaf	less	greater
	attachment, good odor, free of grasses and weeds, no noxious weeds, well cured, no mold.		
Good	Prebloom to early bloom stage of maturity, low to medium fiber with soft stems, high energy and protein content. High percentage leaves, medium percent stem Good green color, fairly free of grasses and weeds, no noxious weeds, well cured	29-32%	52-54%
Fair	Mid-to late bloom stage of maturity, medium. Medium to high fiber with coarse stems and low to moderate energy and protein content. Low percentage leaves, high percent stem, fair to poor color, fair leaf attachment, low to moderate grass and weed contents, no noxious weeds, well cured.		49-52%
Poor	Hay with a serious fault or faults, very low fiber.	>37%	<49%

Table 1. California Alfalfa Hay Quality Designations

- 4. Criteria and terminology used for judging alfalfa hay:
 - a) Alfalfa hay (and other forages) should be judged according to a subjective evaluation of what the expected response in the animal might be. This should be termed "potential feeding value," since actual feeding value is highly dependent upon animal and management factors.
 - b) Potential feeding value has two major components. 1. Potential Digestibility and 2. Potential intake. The total digestible energy, protein, and minerals which are contained in the forage are constrained by the amount of time that it takes for the animal to utilize those nutrients. Some forages are very

high in digestible nutrients, but intake factors significantly limit the feeding value to the animal.

- c) The factors listed in Table 2 below will influence both digestibility and intake factors. Palatability factors such as texture and odor will primarily influence intake. Fiber and protein are major determinants of both digestibility and intake, but must be inferred from other factors, such as leafiness, weediness, and growth stage since few people can judge nutrient content directly.
- d) Table 2. Major factors influencing the feeding value of alfalfa hay. These factors are listed in approximate order of importance. Each factor should be weighed as to its predicted importance to animal performance, which is the true test of the value of forages.

Table 2. Hay Judging Scorecard and Criteria for Judging Hay

Quality Factor	Ability to be judged by visual inspection	Characteristics to Consider
Fiber and Protein Content	very poor	Fiber content is an essential factor to know when determining feeding value. Unfortunately, it is very difficult to judge visually. Contestants should make a subjective determination of fiber content based upon leaf stem ratio and growth stage, and coarseness of stem.
Growth Stage (maturity of plant at harvest)	poor	Even though it is difficult to judge plant maturity in a hay bale, contestants should examine the bales for evidence of bloom, extent of bloom, and relative maturity of the individual stems. The dominant maturity of all of the stems should be considered.
Leafiness	fair	Contestants should assess the alfalfa leaf component as a percentage of the total dry matter in the bale. Higher leaf percentage will almost always indicate higher feeding value, and high stem percentage indicates lower feeding value. This is often termed leaf/stem ratio.
Foreign Material	Excellent	The percentage of alfalfa hay which is not alfalfa will have an important influence on feeding value, especially later-maturity grasses. Efforts to identify the species of weeds should be made and differentiating noxious vs. other weeds. Keep in mind that some weeds can actually be high in feeding value.
Color/Odor	Excellent	Odor can influence palatability and therefore feed intake and animal performance. Odor should be fresh and pleasant. Color might be misleading. It probably does not have much influence on feeding value, but can influence marketability or perception.
Texture/ Condition/ Mold	Excellent	Texture can influence palatability or feed intake. Sometimes very coarse or prickly hay can irritate animal's mouths, affecting intake. The condition of the hay (whether baled too wet or too dry), the presence of mold, leaf diseases should all

	be taken into account.

V. Plant Identification:

- A. Fifty (50) Sixty (60) specimens will be selected from the attached identification list. Specimens may be either green plant material, dried plant material, or seed samples. As many samples as possible will be growing plants.
- B. Host site will provide a curricular ID list for identification of plants.
- C. The letter (B) next to the plant (weed) is to indicate that there are two (2) choices.
 - 1. Place the live or mounted plant out by itself or
 - 2. Place out the plant and seed together.
- D. If the species name is one with the letter (B), <u>do not</u> put the seed out alone. The plant may be put out alone.
- E. If the species name does not have the letter (B), the plant or the seed may be exhibited but not both together.
 - 1. Identification Scoring: Each item in the Identification will be awarded a total of 65 points
- VI. Insect Identification:

 - B. Host site will provide a curricular ID list for identification of insects
- VII. Disorders and Diseases Identification:
 - A. $\frac{5}{10}$ specimens will be identified ($\frac{50}{100}$ points).
 - B. Photo of disorders and diseases are allowed. No more than 50% of the samples can be photos.
- VIII. Time:
 - A. Judging placing tow (2) four classes of four (4) samples each, allowing twelve (12) minutes for placing each class.
 - B. Reasons: Two (2) minutes shall be allowed for giving oral reasons on two (2) four classes. Reasons will be given on Alfalfa Hay.
 - C. Identification: Fifty (50) Sixty (60) minutes will be allowed for:
 - 1. The identification of the seed and plant specimens
 - 2. Insects
 - 3. Disorders and Diseases

XI.Agronomic Knowledge Test – Multiple Choice Questions

- A. Students will be allowed 30 minutes to complete a multiple choice test of 25 questions. Each question will be worth 4 points each. Questions will address the topics of plant science/crop management, soil and water management, and Nutrient/fertilizer management. Up to three questions will focus on math solutions. Each question will be worth four points each for a total value of 100 points. Resources for the test will be available at the Western Region Certified Crop Advisor (WRCCA) Exam Resource page, which is located at <u>https://wrcca.org/wrcca-exam</u>.
- B. The math questions can be related to fertilizer rates, seeding rates, plant population, and acreage calculation. Contestants are expected to know common conversions such as square feet/acre and pounds/ton.

Identification List for Agronomy Contest

Common names only will be used in the contest.
--

Com	non names only will be used in the contest.	
Code	e Common Name	Botanical Name
	WHEAT	
	If a plant sample is displayed the participants must mark Wheat.	
100	Durum wheat	Triticum Durum
101	White wheat	Triticum aestivum
102	Hard Red wheat	Triticum aestivum
	SORGHUMS	
	Grain:	
	White sorghum	Sorghum bicolor
104	Yellow sorghum	Sorghum bicolor
	Milo	Sorghum bicolor
	Grass Sorghum:	
105	Sweet sorghum	Sorghum bicolor or S.
105	Sweet solghum	bicolor x sudanense
106	Piper sudangrass	Sorghum sudanense
100		Sorghum sudanense
	CORN	
	If a plant sample is displayed the participants must mark Corn.	
107	Dent corn	Zea mays
108	Sweet corn	Zea mays
109	White rice popcorn	Zea mays
110	Yellow pearl popcorn	Zea mays
111	RICE	Oryza sativa
	EDIBLE SEED LEGUMES	
	EDIBLE SEED LEGOMES BEANS	
110		Dhaaaalua wulaawia
112	Black bean Blackeye beans or Cownea	Phaseolus vulgaris
110	Blackeye beans or Cowpea	Vigna unquiculata
113	Cranberry bean	Phaseolus vulgaris
114	Garbanzo bean	Cicer arietinum
115	Large lima bean	Phaseolus lunatus
116	Large seeded horsebean	Vicia faba
117	Mung bean	Vigna radiatae
118	Pink bean	Phaseolus vulgaris
119	Pinto bean	Phaseolus vulgaris
120	Red kidney bean	Phaseolus vulgaris
121	Small lima bean	Phaseolus lunatus
122	Small white bean	Phaseolus vulgaris

	e Common Name
	OATS
124	BARLEY
	FORAGE LEGUMES
125	Alfalfa
126	Alsike clover
127	Bird's foot trefoil
128	Common vetch
129	Crimson clover
130	Ladino clover
131	Purple vetch
132	Red clover
133	Rose clover
134	Strawberry clover
135	Subterranean clover
136	White sweet clover
	FORAGE GRASSES
137	Dallisgrass
	Hardinggrass
139	Orchardgrass
140	Prarie brome
141	Tall fescue
	Timothy
	MISCELLANEOUS CROPS
142	Canola
	Cotton
143	Flax
144	Hog millet or Proso millet
145	Lentils
146	Peanuts
147	Rye
148	Safflower
149	Sesame
150	Soybean
151	Sunflower
152	Triticale
	GREEN MANURE CROPS
153	Buckwheat
154	Field peas
155	
451	

Botanical Name

Avena sativa Hordeum vulgare

Medicago sativa Trifolium hybridum Lotus corniculatus Vicia sativa Trifolium incarnatum Trifolium repens Vicia atropurpurea Trifolium pratense Trifolium hirtum Trifolium fragiferum Trifolium subterraneum Melilotus alba

Paspalum dilatatum Phalaris tuberosa var. stenoptera Dactylis glomerata Bromus catharticus Festuca arundinacea Phleum pratense

Brassica napus

Gossypium spp. Linum usitatissimum Panicum miliaceum Lens culinaris Arachis hypogaea Secale creale Carthamus tinctorius Sesamum indicum Glycine max Helianthus annuus Triticasecale

Fagopyrum esculentum Pisum sativum Raphanus raphanistrum subsp. sativus Vicia faba

156 Small seeded horsebean

Code Common Name

157 Sour clover or Annual yellow sweet clover

CALIFORNIA NATIVES

California Milkweed California Poppy Yarrow

WEEDS

If the botanical name is preceded by (B), do not put the seed out alone; however, the plant may be put out alone. If there is no (B), the plant or the seed may be exhibited but not both together.

- 200 Annual bluegrass Annual stinging nettle
- 201 Annual sowthistle
- 202 Black mustard
- 203 Black nightshade Broadleaf filaree
- 204 Broadleaf plantain
- 205 Buckhorn plantain
- 206 California burclover
- 207 California poppy
- 208 Common chickweed
- 209 Common fiddleneck
- 210 Common groundsel
- 211 Dandelion Goosegrass
- 212 Hairy (or Large) crabgrass Horseweed
- 213 Jimsonweed
- 214 London rocket
- 215 Mayweed chamomile
- 216 Nettleleaf goosefoot

Palmer amaranth Pineappleweed

Poison hemlock

- 217 Prickly lettuce
- 218 Prostrate knotweed
- 219 Prostrate pigweed

Botanical Name

Melilotus indicus

Asclepias californica Eschscholzia californica Achillea millefolium

(B) Poa annua (B) Urtica urens (B) Sonchus oleraceus (B) Brassica nigra (B) Solanum nigrum (B) Erodium botrys (B) Plantago major (B) Plantago lanceolata (B) Medicago polymorpha (B) Eschscholzia **californica** (B) Stellaria media (B) Amsinckia intermedia (B) Senecio vulgaris (B) Taraxacum officinale (B) Eleusine indica (B) Digitaria sanguinalis (B) Conyza canadensis (B) Datura stramonium (B) Sisymbrium irio (B) Anthemis cotula (B) Chenopodium murale (B) Amaranthus palmeri (B) Chamomilla suaveolens (B) Conium maculatum L. (B) Lactuca serriola (B) Polygonum aviculare (B) Amaranthus blitoides

Code Common Name

- 220 Red brome
- 221 Red sorrel
- 222 Redroot pigweed
- 223 Redstem filaree
- 224 Shepherd's purse

Stinging nettle Tansy mustard or Flixweed

- 225 Turkey mullein
- 226 White horehound
- 227 Whitestem filaree
- Wild carrot
- 228 Wild mustard or Charlock mustard
- 229 Alkali mallow
- 230 Barnyardgrass
- 231 Bearded sprangletop
- 232 Bermudagrass
- 233 Blessed milkthistle
- 234 Bristly oxtongue Broadleaf plantain Buckhorn plantain
- 235 Catchweed bedstraw
- 236 Cheeseweed or Little mallow
- 237 Clotbur
- 238 Cocklebur
- 239 Common fiddleneck Common foxtail Hare or wild barley
- 240 Common lambsquarters
- 241 Common purslane
- 242 Common sunflower
- 243 Curly dock or Sour dock Groundcherry
- 244 Hairy fleabane
- 245 Hairy nightshade
- 246 Henbit Jimsonweed
- 247 Italian ryegrass
- 248 Lanceleaved Groundcherry Red brome
- 249 Ripgut brome
- 250 Russian thistle

Botanical Name

(B) Bromus rubens (B) Rumex acetosella (B) Amaranthus retroflexus (B) Erodium cicutarium (B) Capsella bursapastoris (B) Urtica dioica (B) Descurainia sophia (B) Croton setigerus (B) Marrubium vulgare (B) Erodium moschatum (B) Daucus carota (B) Sinapis arvensis Malvella leprosa Echinochloa crusgalli Leptochloa fasicularis Leptochloa fusca ssp. fascicularis Cynodon dactylon Silybum marianum **Picris echioides** Plantago major Plantago lanceolata Galium aparine Malva parviflora Xanthium spinosum Xanthium strumarium Amsinckia intermedia Hordeum murinum Chenopodium album Portulaca oleracea Helianthus annuus Rumex crispus Physalis spp. Conyza bonariensis Solanum sarrachoides Lamium aplexicaule Datura stramonium Festuca perennis **Physalis lancifolia Bromus rubens Bromus** rigidus Salsola tragus

Code	e Common Name
251	Shepherd's purse
	Soft chess
252	Spotted spurge
	Tarweed
	Turkey mullein
253	Velvetleaf
254	Wild oat
255	Wild radish
	PROHIBITED NOXIOUS WEEDS
256	Canada thistle
	Coast sandbur
	Perennial pepperweed
257	Russian knapweed
258	Silverleaf nightshade
	RESTRICTED NOXIOUS WEEDS
259	Bull thistle
260	Common St. Johnswort
261	Dodder
	Field bindweed
	Field sandbur
264	Italian thistle
265	Johnsongrass
266	Medusahead
267	Nutsedge
268	Puncturevine

- **Russian Thistle**
- 269 Yellow starthistle

Botanical Name

Capsella bursa-pastoris Bromus mollis Euphorbia maculata Hemizonia spp. **Croton setigerus** Abutilon theophrasti Avena fatua Raphanus raphanistrum

Cirsium arvense

Cenchrus spinifex Lepidium latifolium Acroptilon repens Solanum elaeagnifolium

Cirsiui vulgare Hypericum perforatum Cuscuta spp. Convolvulus arvensis **Cenchrus incertus** Carduus pycnocephalus Sorghum halepense Elymus caput-medusae Cyperus esculentus Cyperus rotundus **Tribulus terrestris** Salsola tragus Centaurea solstitialils

GENERAL SEED SCORECARD

(Values allotted sub-heads need not necessarily total the same as the main heads).

<u>SMALL SEEDED LEGUMES SCORECARD</u> (Alfalfa, Ladino Clover, etc.)

40
20
5
10
10
10
5

Freedom from Noxious, Other Crop, and Common Weeds

Noxious, other crop, and common weed seeds in the samples will be selected from the list found in the Agronomy section of the Curricular Code.

Plumpness

Shrunken seed of an unnatural brown color due to immaturity, rain damage, insect damage and such other environmental factors that will result in low viability.

<u>Luster</u>

A dull lifeless appearance is apt to be due to weathering or age and is an indication of low viability. A dull, reddish tinge is an indication of extreme age.

Freedom from Inert Material

Includes chaff, stems, dirt, and small parts of broken seeds.

FOR CROPS OTHER THAN SMALL SEED LEGUMES

Reproducible factors.	
Freedom from noxious weeds	25
Freedom from common weeds	20
Freedom from mixture of other crops	15
Freedom from mixture of varieties	10
Non-reproducible factors.	
Maturity	10
Natural color	5
Uniformity	5
Freedom from damage	5
Freedom from foreign material	5

NOTE:

These scorecards should not be used as a means of assigning numerical values to sample and placing them according to this value. The main use is to supplement good judgment in comparative evaluation of the various factors.

The official guide for the State Finals Agronomy Contest is: Composite list of Weeds, 1989 revised edition, Standardized Common Names, published by Weed Science Society of America.

INSECT INDENTIFICATION (50 points)

Five samples will be identified according to insect name, life cycle, economic impact, and mouthpart.

Scorecard	Member	Possible	Member	Possible Answers		
	Answer	Points	score	Name		
1. ID #		4		10 Alfalfa weevil, adult or larva		
Economic Impact#		2		11 Aphid <mark>s</mark>		
Life Cycle #		2		12 Armyworm adult		
,				13 Armyworm larva		
Mouth part #		2		Assassin bug		
2. ID #		4		14 Bean leaf beetle		
Economic Impact#		2		15 Blister beetle (Larva)		
Life Cycle #		2		Blister beetle (Adult)		
, Mouth part #		2		16 Boll weevil		
3. ID #		4		17 Chinch bug		
Economic Impact#		2		18 Colorado potato beetle, adult or		
				larva		
Life Cycle #		2		19 Corn Earworm adult		
,				20 Corn Earworm larva		
Mouth part #		2		21 Corn rootworm larva adult		
				22 Corn rootworm larva		
				23 Cutworm adult		
				24 Cutworm larva		
				25 European corn borer adult		
				26 European corn borer larva		
4. ID #		4		27 Field Cricket		
Economic Impact#		2		28 Flea beetle		
Life Cycle #		2		29 Grain weevil		
Mouth part #		2		30 Grasshopper		
5. ID #		4		31 Green lacewing		
Economic Impact#		2		32 Honeybee		
Life Cycle #		2		33 Imported cabbageworm		
Mouth part #		2		34 Japanese beetle		
Economic Impact				35 Lady beetle adult or larva		
¹ NP Beneficial, none	e or			36 Leafhopper		
prec	latory			Leaf skeletonizer		
2 F Fruit/Flower des	truction			37 Mexican bean beetle, adult or larva		
3 V Vegetative part	destruction			Pink bollworm larva		
IS Indicator Specie	S			38 Saltmarsh caterpillar		
4 R Removal of plan	t fluids			39 Scale		
				40 Spider mite		
Life Cycle				41 Spittlebug		
C Complete				Spotted cucumber beetle		
I Incomplete				42 Squash bug		
N None				43 Stink bug		
				44 Striped cucumber beetle		

Mouth Parts		45 Trarnished plant bug / Lygus bug
¹ C Chewing		46 Thrips
2 CL Chewing-lapping		47 Tomato or tobacco hornworm larva
4 PS Piercing-sucking		Western corn rootworm beetle
3 RS Rasping-sucking		Western flower thrip
5 Sp Sponging		White grub
<mark>5 Si</mark> Siphoning		48 Whitefly
		49 Wireworm
Total Score:	50 100	

Add 5 more Entries for a total of 10

*** Replace current Insect Identification worksheet with page 23 of the National FFA Agronomy Contest worksheet, using their Insect Identification.***

Insect List

	Insect	Economic Impact	Life Cycle	Mouth Parts
10.	Alfalfa weevil; adult or larva	Vegetative Part Destruction	Complete	Chewing
11.	Aphid <mark>s</mark>	Removal of Plant Fluids	Incomplete	Piercing-Sucking
12.	Armyworm adult	Vegetative Part Destruction Indicator Species	Complete	Siphoning
13.	Armyworm larva	Vegetative Part Destruction	Complete	Chewing
	Assassin bug	None or Predatory	Incomplete	Piercing-Sucking
14.	Bean leaf beetle	Fruit/Flower Destruction & Vegetative Part Destruction	Complete	Chewing
15.	Blister beetle (larvae)	Vegetative Part Destruction	Complete	Chewing
	Blister beetle (adult)	Vegetative Part Destruction	Complete	Chewing
16.	Boll weevil	Fruit/Flower Destruction	Complete	Chewing
17.	Chinch bug	Removal of Plant Fluids	Incomplete	Piercing-Sucking
18.	Colorado potato beetle adult or larva	Vegetative Part Destruction	Complete	Chewing
19.	Corn earworm <mark>adult</mark>	Indicator species	Complete	Siphoning
20.	Corn earworm larva	Fruit/Flower Destruction & Vegetative Part Destruction	Complete	Chewing
21.	Corn rootworm adult	Fruit/Flower Destruction & Vegetative Part Destruction	Complete	Chewing
22.	Corn rootworm larva	Vegetative Part Destruction	Complete	Chewing
23.	Cutworm adult	Indicator species	Complete	Chewing
24.	Cutworm larva	Vegetative Part Destruction	Complete	Chewing
25.	European corn borer adult	Indicator species	Complete	Siphoning
26.	European corn borer larva	Fruit/Flower Destruction & Vegetative Part Destruction	Complete	Chewing
27	Field Cricket	Fruit/Flower Destruction	Incomplete	Chewing
28.	Flea beetle	Vegetative Part Destruction	Complete	Chewing
29.	Grain weevil	Fruit/Flower Destruction	Complete	Chewing
30.	Grasshopper	Vegetative Part Destruction	Incomplete	Chewing
31.	Green lacewing	None or Predatory	Complete	Chewing
32.	Honeybee	None or Predatory	Complete	Chewing-Lapping
33.	Imported Cabbageworm	Fruit/Flower Destruction & Vegetative Part Destruction	Complete	Chewing
34.	Japanese beetle	Fruit/Flower Destruction & Vegetative Part Destruction	Complete	Chewing
35.	Lady beetle <mark>adult or</mark> larva	None or Predatory	Complete	Chewing
	Leaf skeletonizer	Vegetative Part Destruction	Complete	Chewing
36.	Leafhopper	Removal of Plant Fluids	Incomplete	Piercing-Sucking
37.	Mexican bean beetle	Fruit/Flower Destruction & Vegetative Part Destruction	Complete	Chewing
	Pink bollworm larva	Fruit/Flower Destruction	Complete	Chewing
38.	Saltmarsh caterpillar /wooly worm	Vegetative Part Destruction	Complete	Chewing
39.	Scale	Removal of Plant Fluids	Incomplete	Piercing-Sucking

40				
40.	Spider mite	Vegetative Part Destruction	Incomplete	Rasping-Sucking
41.	Spittlebug	Removal of Plant Fluids	Incomplete	Piercing-Sucking
	Spotted cucumber/Southern corn rootworm beetle	Fruit/Flower Destruction & Vegetative Part Destruction	Complete	Chewing
42.	Squash Bug	Removal of Plant Fluids	Incomplete	Piercing-Sucking
43.	Stink bug	Removal of Plant Fluids	Incomplete	Piercing-Sucking
44.	Striped cucumber beetle	Fruit/Flower Destruction & Vegetative Part Destruction	Complete	Chewing
45.	Tarnished plant bug – Lygus Bug	Removal of Plant Fluids Incomplete		Piercing-Sucking
46.	Western flower thrip Thrips	Fruit/Flower Destruction & Incomplete		Rasping-Sucking
47.	Tobacco/tomato Tomato or tobacco hornworm larva	Fruit/Flower Destruction & Complete		Chewing
	White grub	Vegetative Part Destruction Complete		Chewing
48.	Whitefly	Vegetative Part Destruction & Removal of Plant Fluid	Complete	Piercing-Sucking
49.	Wireworm	Vegetative Part Destruction	Complete	Chewing

*** Replace current Insect List with pages 18 & 19 of the National FFA Agronomy Contest worksheet, using their Insect List.***

Disorders and Diseases

Five samples will be identified according to category, causal agent, and damage location.

Scorecard

	Member Answer	Possible Points	Member score	Possible Answer
1. Causal Cat. #	Answei	3	30010	Causal Category
Agent#		4		Cultural B Biological
Plant Part Damaged #		3		Biological C - Cultural
		5		E Environmental
2. Causal Cat. #		3		
Agent#		4		Agents
Plant Part Damaged #		3		10 Fungus B Bacteria
				11 Chemical Ch Chemical
3. Causal Cat. #		3		12 Mechanical Co Compaction
Agent#		4		13 Compaction D Drought
Plant Part Damaged #		3		14 Nematodes Fr Frost
Thank T are Damaged #		0		Damage
				15 Bacteria Fn Fungus
4. Causal Cat. #		3		16 Insect Ha Hail
Agent#		4		17 Nutritional Ht Heat
Plant Part Damaged #		3		18 Drought I Insect
				19 Pollution L Lightening
5. Causal Cat. #		3		20 Flood Me Mechanical
Agent#		4		21 Heat Mo Moisture
Plant Part Damaged #		3		22 Virus Ne Nematodes
		-		
				P Pollution
Add 5 more Entries				S Sun Scald
for a total of 10				V Virus
				W Wind Damage
				Parts of Plant Damaged
				1 No Damage Reproductive
				parts
				2 Fruit or Flower Vegetative parts
				3 Vegetative Parts
				3 Vascular Bundles
				4 More than one area
Total Score:		50 100		

*** Replace current Disorders and Diseases Scorecard with page 20 of the National FFA Agronomy Contest worksheet, using their Agronomic Disorders Practicum Scorecard.***

A new judging card answer sheet needs be created for the California Agronomy Contest. Other States such as Missouri have created their own (Form #708MO-3)